PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Ì	REC'D	1	i	JUL	2005
	WIPO				PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

WOB03 IDM MYO		FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application PCT/EP2004/00789	No. 91	International filing date (day/month/year) 15.07.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.07.2003				
A61K35/14, A61K35 Applicant I.D.M. IMMUNO-DE	5/34, C12N5/06,	Lational classification and IPC C12N5/08, A61P9/10, A61P9/04 CULES et al.					
2. I IIIS HEPORT CO	onsists of a total of	sheets, including this cover about	by this International Preliminary Examining icle 36.				
This report is als	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising						
a. 🗀 sent to th	e applicant and to	the International Rureau a total at	eets as follows:				
and <i>l</i> o Admi	r sheets containing	n, claims and/or drawings which have be g rectifications authorized by this Author ons).	een amended and are the basis of this repor ity (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the				
□ sneet beyor Suppl	is which supersedend the disclosure in the disclosure in lemental Box.	e earlier sheets, but which this Authority n the international application as filed, as	considers contain an amendment that goes				
	liation - 1/	The rotal of (indicate type and ne	limbor of all and the				
	g to coductice E	Sung (see Section 802 of the Administra	diffully distributed in the Supplemental form only, as indicated in the Supplemental ative instructions).				
. This report contai	ins indications rela	ting to the following items:	umber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing form only, as indicated in the Supplemental ative instructions).				
. This report contai	ins indications rela	ting to the following items:	diffully of electronic carrier(s)) , containing form only, as indicated in the Supplemental ative instructions).				
. This report contai ☑ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II	ins indications rela Basis of the opinion	ting to the following items:	ative Instructions).				
. This report contai ☑ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II ☑ Box No. III	ins indications rela Basis of the opinic Priority Non-establishmen	ting to the following items: to of opinion with regard to novelty, invent	ative Instructions).				
. This report contai ☑ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II ☑ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV	ins indications related the second related to the second related related to the second related	ting to the following items: to of opinion with regard to novelty, invented to the section in t	ative Instructions).				
. This report contai ☑ Box No. I ☑ Box No. II ☑ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V	ins indications rela Basis of the opinic Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme	ting to the following items: to opinion with regard to novelty, invention ent under Article 35(2) with regard to not opinion such established and explanations supporting such established.	ative Instructions).				
. This report contai ☑ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II ☑ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V	ins indications related in the second in the	ting to the following items: t of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty and explanations supporting such states.	ative Instructions).				
. This report contai ☑ Box No. I ☑ Box No. II ☑ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V ☑ Box No. VI ☑ Box No. VI	ins indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invalue Reasoned statement applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in instance of the content	ting to the following items: to opinion with regard to novelty, invention ent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty ones and explanations supporting such state of the international application.	ative Instructions).				
. This report contai ☐ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII	ins indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invalued Reasoned statements applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation in the contain observation in the certain observation in the	ting to the following items: to opinion with regard to novelty, invention ent under Article 35(2) with regard to not opinion such established and explanations supporting such established.	ative Instructions).				
. This report contai ☐ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII	ins indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invalued Reasoned statements applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation in the contain observation in the certain observation in the	ting to the following items: to on t of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ent under Article 35(2) with regard to novel to some and explanations supporting such state of the international application as on the international application	ative Instructions). Intive step and industrial applicability velty, inventive step or industrial atement				
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	ins indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invalued Reasoned statements applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation in the contain observation in the certain observation in the	ting to the following items: to opinion with regard to novelty, invention ent under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty ones and explanations supporting such state of the international application.	ative Instructions). Intive step and industrial applicability velty, inventive step or industrial atement				
This report contai Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Cate of submission of the decision of the decision of the decision.	ins indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invalued Reasoned statement applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation demand	ting to the following items: to on t of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ent under Article 35(2) with regard to novel to some and explanations supporting such state of the international application as on the international application	ative Instructions). Intive step and industrial applicability velty, inventive step or industrial atement				
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Cate of submission of the description of the descript	ins indications related Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invalue Reasoned statement applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation demand	ting to the following items: to opinion with regard to novelty, invention ent under Article 35(2) with regard to novent and explanations supporting such state cited the international application as on the international application Date of completion of	ative Instructions). Intive step and industrial applicability velty, inventive step or industrial atement				
Box No. I Box No. II Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Ate of submission of the definition of the d	ins indications related assistance of the opinion of the international ority:	ting to the following items: to opinion with regard to novelty, invention ent under Article 35(2) with regard to novel such state of explanations supporting such state of explanational application Date of completion of 08.07.2005 Authorized Officer	ative Instructions). Ative step and industrial applicability velty, inventive step or industrial atement				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007891

	Box No. I Basis of the repo	net -
1.		
		his report is based on the international application in the language in which it was
	This report is based on tra which is the language of a	inslations from the original language into the following language, translation furnished for the purposes of:
	□ publication of the intern □ international preliminar	nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) lational application (under Rule 12.4) y examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	With regard to the elements a	of the international application, this report is based on (replacement charter the
	Description, Pages	
	1-40	as originally filed
	Claims, Numbers	
	1-41	as originally filed
	Drawings, Sheets	
	1/19-19/19	as originally filed
1	☐ a sequence listing and/or ar	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3. I	☐ The amendments have resu	Ilted in the cancellation of
	☐ the description, pages	in the Cancellation of:
	☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs	
	☐ the sequence listing /snc	aciful:
	any table(s) related to se	
4. E	supplemental box (Hule /0.2(c))	shed as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below ave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the
	the description, pages the claims, Nos.	
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs	
	☐ the sequence listing <i>(spe</i> ☐ any table(s) related to sec	cify): Quence listing (specify):
*	If item 4 applies, sor	ne or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."
	22 , 201	these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007891

	pplicability		opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
. T ol	he questions whether the claim ovious), or to be industrially ap	ned in plicab	vention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-		
	the entire international appli	catior	ουρευτοί		
\boxtimes					
	because:				
×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-19 (IA only) relate to the following subject matter seasons as a separate of the following subject matters.				
	see separate sheet				
		wings ould b	s <i>(indicate particular elements below)</i> or said claims Nos. are so unclear e formed <i>(specify)</i> :		
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion				
	no international search report has been patable to the				
	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in A				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
	the computer readable form				
			does not comply with the standard		
			has not been furnished		
] :	the tobles will be a		does not comply with the standard		
- I	not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
] {	See separate sheet for further o	.1			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/007891

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-5, 8-10, 12-15, 17, 19, 21-26, 36-41

No: Claims

1, 6, 7, 11, 11, 16, 18, 20, 27, 33-35

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

12, 21, 37

No: Claims

1-11, 13-20, 22-32, 36, 38-41

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-19 : see separate sheet ; 20-41 YES

No: Claims 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the see separate sheet

II. Priority

The priority is only partly valid. The feature "macrophage-conditioned medium" does not appear in the priority document. Consequently, claims 1-10 (partly), 12, 13-19 (partly) 21, 22-32 (partly), 37, 38-41 (partly) have an effective date of 15.07.2004 (i.e. filing date of the present application).

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 1-19 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

Cited documents

Documents of the international search report ISR are numbered according to the order of appearance. Unless specified otherwise, reference is made to the passages cited in the ISR.

- D1: WO 00/45827 A (BARTHOLEYNS JACQUES; IDM IMMUNO DESIGNED MOLECULES (FR); KLEIN BERNAR) 10 August 2000.

 D2: GHERARDI R K (REPRINT) ET AL : "Lilimon municipality."
- D2: GHERARDI R K (REPRINT) ET AL: "Human myogenic precursor cells (mpc) attract monocytes through CC and CX3C chemokines and interplay with macrophages to improve muscle regeneration: A step toward characterization of the mpc niche" NEUROLOGY, (9 APR 2002) VOL. 58, NO. 7, SUPP. [3], PP. A45-A45, 9 April 2002.
- D3: CHAZAUD BENEDICTE ET AL: "Endoventricular porcine autologous myoblast transplantation can be successfully achieved with minor mechanical cell damage." CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH, vol. 58, no. 2, 1 May 2003, pages 444-450.
- D4: ROBERTSON T A ET AL: "The role of macrophages in skeletal muscle regeneration with particular reference to chemotaxis" EXPERIMENTAL CELL RESEARCH, vol. 207, no. 2, 1993, pages 321-331.

 D5: UTERO TRANSPI ANTATION ET AL: "Macrosto and muscle regeneration with particular reference to chemotaxis" EXPERIMENTAL CELL RESEARCH, vol. 207, no. 2, 1993, pages 321-331.
- D5: UTERO TRANSPLANTATION ET AL: "Myocyte and myogenic stem cell transplantation in the heart" CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH, (1 MAY 2003) VOL. 58, NO. 2, PP. 336-350.

 D6: CHAZAUD. BENEDICTE ET AL: "Sotollite cells attracted and myogenic stem cell transplantation in the cells attracted and the cells attracted attracted and the cells attracted attracted and the cells attracted attracte
- D6: CHAZAUD, BENEDICTE ET AL: "Satellite cells attract monocytes and use macrophages as a support to escape apoptosis and enhance muscle growth" JOURNAL OF CELL BIOLOGY, 163(5), 1133-1143 2003.

Novelty

D1 discloses macrophages and stem cell based pharmaceutical compositions and the use thereof (see passages of the ISR) for cancer immunotherapy or stem cell transplantation. D1 is novelty destroying for

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/007891

claims 1, 6, 7, 11, 16, 18, 20, 27 and 33-35.

Inventive step

Starting from D1 or D6 (for those claims not entitled to priority), the difference seems to reside in the use of a macrophage-conditioned medium. According to D4, macrophages and factors secreted by them are chemoattractants for muscle precursor cells. This does NOT hold for the so-called macrophage conditioned medium as such. Thus, the skilled person would not seriously consider the use of macrophage conditioned medium as an alternative to macrophages. The claims 1-41 thus involve an inventive step, *insofar the involve the use of macrophage conditioned medium*.

However, the use of macrophages in combination with myogenic stem cells is not considered inventive in view of D1 (closest prior art) and D2-D5, because it is already disclosed in the latter documents that macrophages improve the muscle regeneration if combined with myogenic precursor cells. The claims 1-41 thus do NOT involve an inventive step, *insofar the involve the use of macrophages*.

Industrial applicability

For the assessment of the present claims 1-19 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

VI. Certain documents cited

The document cited as P in the International Search Report is relevant, insofar the priority claim is not valid (see item II).

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The claims as a whole are not concise, as there are three independent use claims, four independent product claims and two independent process claims (Art. 6 PCT).

The medical indication "a disease or a lesion involving cellular apoptosis, reduction of the survival of cells and/or destruction of cells" is not a clear definition of a disease to be treated (Art. 6 PCT).

It is unclear what is meant by "Binary complex" (claims 33-35) and this renders the scope of the claims

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/007891

unclear (Art. 6 PCT).